The Entity-Relationship Model

Chapter 2, Chapter 3 (3.5 only)

Overview of Database Design

- *Conceptual design*: *(ER Model is used at this stage.)*
  - What are the *entities* and *relationships* in the enterprise?
  - What information about these entities and relationships should we store in the database?
  - What are the *integrity constraints* or *business rules* that hold?
  - A database `schema' in the ER Model can be represented pictorially (*ER diagrams*).
  - Can map an ER diagram into a relational schema.

Overview of Database Design Example

- *In an enterprise we want to keep track of the following facts:*
  - The enterprise consists of several departments in which works several employees.
  - Each employee has a unique SSN and various information (name, DOB, address,..)
  - Each department has a unique DID and various information (name, budget,..)
  - A manager is an employee who currently manage only one department since a given date.
  - A department can have no more than one manager.

Overview of Database Design Example

- *In an enterprise we want to keep track of the following facts:*
  - Each employee works in only one department and some under the supervision of one other employee.
  - Employees either work by hour or work by contract.
  - Each employee has several dependents (with name, age) which can be enrolled for policy plans.
  - Each department sponsors several projects (with a unique PID, budget, start date) for a certain periods of time.
  - While a project is sponsored by a department it is monitored by an employee for till a certain date.

ER Model Basics



- *Entity:* Real-world object distinguishable from other objects. An entity is described (in DB) using a set of *attributes*.
- *Entity Set*: A collection of similar entities. E.g., all employees.
  - All entities in an entity set have the same set of attributes. (Until we consider ISA hierarchies, anyway!)
  - Each entity set has a *key*.
  - Each attribute has a *domain*.

ER Model Basics (Contd.)





- *Relationship*: Association among two or more entities. E.g., Attishoo works in Pharmacy department.
- *Relationship Set*: Collection of similar relationships.
  - An n-ary relationship set R relates n entity sets E1 ... En; each relationship in R involves entities e1   E1, ..., en   En
    - Same entity set could participate in different relationship sets, or in different "roles" in same set.



Key Constraints

- Consider Works_In: An employee can work in many departments; a dept can have many employees.
- In contrast, each dept has at most one manager, according to the *key constraint* on Manages.



Participation Constraints

- Does every department have a manager?
  - If so, this is a *participation constraint*: the participation of Departments in Manages is said to be *total* (vs. *partial*).
    - Every Departments entity must appear in an instance of the Manages relationship.

Weak Entities

- A *weak entity* can be identified uniquely only by considering the primary key of another (*owner*) entity.
  - Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (one owner, many weak entities).
  - Weak entity set must have total participation in this *identifying* relationship set.



ISA (`is a') Hierarchies



- As in C++, or other PLs, attributes are inherited.
- If we declare A **ISA** B, every A entity is also considered to be a B entity.
- *Overlap constraints*: Can Joe be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? (*Allowed/disallowed*)
- *Covering constraints*: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? *(Yes/no)*
- Reasons for using ISA:
  - To add descriptive attributes specific to a subclass.
  - To identify entitities that participate in a relationship.

Aggregation

- Used when we have to model a relationship involving (entitity sets and) a *relationship set*.
  - *Aggregation* allows us to treat a relationship set as an entity set for purposes of participation in (other) relationships.



* *Aggregation vs. ternary relationship*:

- Monitors is a distinct relationship, with a descriptive attribute.
- Also, can say that each sponsorship is monitored by at most one employee.

Conceptual Design Using the ER Model

- Design choices:
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or an attribute?
  - Should a concept be modeled as an entity or a relationship?
  - Identifying relationships: Binary or ternary? Aggregation?
- Constraints in the ER Model:
  - A lot of data semantics can (and should) be captured.
  - But some constraints cannot be captured in ER diagrams.

Entity vs. Attribute

- Should *address* be an attribute of Employees or an entity (connected to Employees by a relationship)?
- Depends upon the use we want to make of address information, and the semantics of the data:
  - If we have several addresses per employee, *address* must be an entity (since attributes cannot be set-valued).
  - If the structure (city, street, etc.) is important, e.g., we want to retrieve employees in a given city, *address* must be modeled as an entity (since attribute values are atomic).

Entity vs. Attribute (Contd.)

- Works_In4 does not allow an employee to work in a department for two or more periods.



- Similar to the problem of wanting to record several addresses for an employee: We want to record *several values of the descriptive attributes for each instance of this relationship.* Accomplished by introducing new entity set, Duration.



Entity vs. Relationship

- First ER diagram OK if a manager gets a separate discretionary budget for each dept.
- What if a manager gets a discretionary budget that covers *all* managed depts?
  - Redundancy: *dbudget* stored for each dept managed by manager.
  - Misleading: Suggests *dbudget* associated with department-mgr combination.



This fixes the problem!

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships

- If each policy is owned by just 1 employee, and each dependent is tied to the covering policy, first diagram is inaccurate.
- What are the additional constraints in the 2nd diagram?



Bad design



Better design

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships (Contd.)

- Previous example illustrated a case when two binary relationships were better than one ternary relationship.
- An example in the other direction: a ternary relation Contracts relates entity sets Parts, Departments and Suppliers, and has descriptive attribute *qty*. No combination of binary relationships is an adequate substitute:
  - S "can-supply" P, D "needs" P, and D "deals-with" S does not imply that D has agreed to buy P from S.
  - How do we record *qty*?

Logical DB Design: ER to Relational

- Entity sets to tables:



```
CREATE TABLE Employees
      (ssn CHAR(11),
      name CHAR(20),
      lot  INTEGER,
      PRIMARY KEY  (ssn))
```

Relationship Sets to Tables

- In translating a relationship set to a relation, attributes of the relation must include:
  - Keys for each participating entity set (as foreign keys).
    - This set of attributes forms a *superkey* for the relation.
  - All descriptive attributes.

```
CREATE TABLE Works_In(
  ssn  CHAR(11),
  did  INTEGER,
  since  DATE,
  PRIMARY KEY (ssn, did),
  FOREIGN KEY (ssn)
     REFERENCES Employees,
 FOREIGN KEY (did)
     REFERENCES Departments)
```

Review: Key Constraints

- Each dept has at most one manager, according to the *key constraint* on Manages.





Translation to relational model?

Translating ER Diagrams with Key Constraints

- Map relationship to a table:
  - Note that did is the key now!
  - Separate tables for Employees and Departments.
- Since each department has a unique manager, we could instead combine Manages and Departments.

```
CREATE TABLE  Manages(
  ssn  CHAR(11),
  did  INTEGER,
  since  DATE,
  PRIMARY KEY  (did),
  FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
  FOREIGN KEY (did) REFERENCES Departments)
```

```
CREATE TABLE  Dept_Mgr(
  did  INTEGER,
  dname  CHAR(20),
  budget  REAL,
  ssn  CHAR(11),
  since  DATE,
  PRIMARY KEY  (did),
  FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees)
```

Review: Participation Constraints

- Does every department have a manager?
  - If so, this is a *participation constraint*: the participation of Departments in Manages is said to be *total* (vs. *partial*).
    - Every *did* value in Departments table must appear in a row of the Manages table (with a non-null *ssn* value!)



Participation Constraints in SQL

- We can capture participation constraints involving one entity set in a binary relationship, but little else (without resorting to CHECK constraints).

```
CREATE TABLE Dept_Mgr(
  did INTEGER,
  dname CHAR(20),
  budget REAL,
  ssn CHAR(11) NOT NULL,
  since DATE,
  PRIMARY KEY (did),
  FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
    ON DELETE NO ACTION)
```

Review: Weak Entities

- A *weak entity* can be identified uniquely only by considering the primary key of another (*owner*) entity.
  - Owner entity set and weak entity set must participate in a one-to-many relationship set (1 owner, many weak entities).
  - Weak entity set must have total participation in this *identifying* relationship set.



Translating Weak Entity Sets

- Weak entity set and identifying relationship set are translated into a single table.
  - When the owner entity is deleted, all owned weak entities must also be deleted.

```
CREATE TABLE  Dep_Policy (
   pname  CHAR(20),
   age  INTEGER,
   cost  REAL,
   ssn  CHAR(11) NOT NULL,
   PRIMARY KEY  (pname, ssn),
   FOREIGN KEY  (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
      ON DELETE CASCADE)
```

Review: ISA Hierarchies



- As in C++, or other PLs, attributes are inherited.
- If we declare A **ISA** B, every A entity is also considered to be a B entity.

- *Overlap constraints*: Can Joe be an Hourly_Emps as well as a Contract_Emps entity? (*Allowed/disallowed*)
- *Covering constraints*: Does every Employees entity also have to be an Hourly_Emps or a Contract_Emps entity? *(Yes/no)*

Translating ISA Hierarchies to Relations

- ***General approach:***
  - 3 relations: Employees, Hourly_Emps and Contract_Emps.
    - *Hourly_Emps*: Every employee is recorded in Employees. For hourly emps, extra info recorded in Hourly_Emps (*hourly_wages*, *hours_worked*, *ssn*); must delete Hourly_Emps tuple if referenced Employees tuple is deleted).
    - Queries involving all employees easy, those involving just Hourly_Emps require a join to get some attributes.
- Alternative: Just Hourly_Emps and Contract_Emps.
  - *Hourly_Emps*: *ssn, name, lot, hourly_wages, hours_worked.*
  - Each employee must be in one of these two subclasses.

Review: Binary vs. Ternary Relationships

- What are the additional constraints in the 2nd diagram?



Bad design



Better design

Binary vs. Ternary Relationships (Contd.)

- The key constraints allow us to combine Purchaser with Policies and Beneficiary with Dependents.
- Participation constraints lead to NOT NULL constraints.
- What if Policies is a weak entity set?

```
CREATE TABLE  Policies (
  policyid  INTEGER,
  cost  REAL,
  ssn  CHAR(11)  NOT NULL,
  PRIMARY KEY (policyid).
  FOREIGN KEY (ssn) REFERENCES Employees,
    ON DELETE CASCADE)
CREATE TABLE Dependents (
  pname  CHAR(20),
  age  INTEGER,
  policyid  INTEGER,
  PRIMARY KEY (pname, policyid).
  FOREIGN KEY (policyid) REFERENCES Policies,
    ON DELETE CASCADE)
```

Summary of Conceptual Design

- *Conceptual design* follows *requirements analysis*,
  - Yields a high-level description of data to be stored
- ER model popular for conceptual design
  - Constructs are expressive, close to the way people think about their applications.
- Basic constructs: *entities*, *relationships*, and *attributes* (of entities and relationships).
- Some additional constructs: *weak entities*, *ISA hierarchies*, and *aggregation*.
- Note: There are many variations on ER model.



Summary of ER (Contd.)

- Several kinds of integrity constraints can be expressed in the ER model: *key constraints*, *participation constraints*, and *overlap/covering constraints* for ISA hierarchies. Some *foreign key constraints* are also implicit in the definition of a relationship set.
  - Some constraints (notably, *functional dependencies*) cannot be expressed in the ER model.
  - Constraints play an important role in determining the best database design for an enterprise.



Summary of ER (Contd.)

- ER design is *subjective*. There are often many ways to model a given scenario! Analyzing alternatives can be tricky, especially for a large enterprise. Common choices include:
  - Entity vs. attribute, entity vs. relationship, binary or n-ary relationship, whether or not to use ISA hierarchies, and whether or not to use aggregation.
- Ensuring good database design: resulting relational schema should be analyzed and refined further. FD information and normalization techniques are especially useful.
- Rules to translate ER to relational model.